

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_100649
Title of the Manuscript:	GEOLOGIC STRUCTURES AND MINERALIZED PRECAMBRIAN PEGMATITE VEINS IN PARTS OF IGARRA SCHIST BELT SOUTHWESTERN NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The study bears just an investigation of structurally controlled pegmatites and their properties, not completely on mineral exploration. It's a general study</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Still more explanation is needed, as given title, not much attention is taken on Mineralized zones</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>The article is good and well presented, but authors might have focused on exploration of mineralized zones, instead they have just measured the strike directions of the pegmatite veins</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Slight improvements are needed in certain places for example in third line of abstract it is given as "They studied veins are oriented...." this sentence not conveying meaning.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>As a whole the work is good and may be considered for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Raghu Babu
Department, University & Country	Yogi Vemana University, India