

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_100991
Title of the Manuscript:	Neurocognitive Impairment in HIV Depressed Patients in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Hospital based Cross sectional comparative study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>TITLE NEEDS MODIFICATION.</b> <b>Neurocognitive impairment in Patients with HIV and depression in Nigeria – Hospital based cross-sectional comparative study</b></p> <p><b>Short forms to be expanded. Methodology and results to be elaborated in details.</b></p> <p><b>YES</b> All short forms to be expanded at first. Patients should not be branded as HIV patients/ depressed patients. It may be written as patients with HIV and depression. Methodology and statistics methods to be elaborated in detail. Questionnaire to be attached. Results section lacks of tables pertaining to demographic data and clinical risk profile and CD4 count and treatment regimen. Mean age in years to be mentioned rather than in range.</p> <p><b>YES</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p><b>YES</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sakthivadivel V</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India</b>