

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_101182
Title of the Manuscript:	Impacts of Pandemic on HealthCare Workers in Teaching Hospitals in Rivers State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. It seems that the use of impact is not appropriate. Impact is about consequences, usually long-term. Effect is more technically appropriate.</li> <li>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive containing essential details or information (background, methods, results, and conclusion).</li> <li>4. Yes except the discussion which needs further enhancement. Use the cited literature to support the discussion. What are presented in the discussion portion are still findings.</li> <li>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>There are some flaws observed. In the findings, past tense must be used (agree to agreed, are to were). Minor issues on subject-verb agreement. It is recommended that the author will use Grammarly or other apps to improve the writing of the paper. Likewise, the help of a language critic may be sought.</p> <p>Some of the grammatically erroneous sentences are presented below.</p> <p>Pandemic risk is driven by the <b>combined effects various factors</b> such as environment, host, geographic regions, lack of preparedness, poor health system etc [3]</p> <p>Globally, <b>there have several</b> efforts have been made in preparing for and mitigating the impacts of pandemics [4].</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Christopher J. Cocal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Advanced Studies, Pangasinan State University, Philippines</b>