

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_101921</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of potting media and GA3 on seed germination and seedling growth of Guava (Psidium guajava) cv. Allahabad safeda under shade net condition</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	I have some suggestions to improve the manuscript.  1. This manuscript is useful to the scientific community.  2. The title of the article is appropriate.  3. The abstract lack the problem statement, objectives and it should be more concise.  4. Introduction lacks the objectives of this study and reference to previous study reports relevant to this study.  5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.  6. References are too many (total of 46 references). If it possible should be contains at least 10 recent references related to your research. All the cited references in text must be listed in a reference list. Please check for duplicate reference. Please make a reference list according to the journal template.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language of the article is suitable for academic communication. - Please check the grammatical errors and misspellings.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Please follow the manuscript template of Journal.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ruchuon Wanna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharakham University, Thailand</b>