

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101921
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of potting media and GA3 on seed germination and seedling growth of Guava (<i>Psidium guajava</i>) cv. Allahabad safeda under shade net condition
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The theme developed in the study as it is presented has a restricted local impact. It could be of importance to the scientific community and the information is complemented with other more robust statistical analyses.</p> <p>The title is not appropriate or attractive, we suggest one more adapted to the nature of the work and that shows what was actually done: Effects on the germination and development of seedlings of <i>Psidium guajava</i> cv. Allahabad safeda in.... include the site where study was done.</p> <p>The abstract is only limited to presenting the results of the study, which is why it lacks its fundamental elements.</p> <p>The manuscript presents all the items required for a scientific article, however, the authors must work hard to improve the study so that it is reproducible and with greater scientific rigor.</p> <p>The manuscript as it is presented is not scientifically correct, many aspects of the scientific method need to be improved. The bibliographical references used are not the most appropriate, in addition to being very outdated, which makes the research lose value. Authors are suggested to consult a larger number of articles from no more than five years ago to better direct the research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is suggested to review the English in the entire manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Authors are suggested to review the specific observations made in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Cruz Morillo Coronado
Department, University & Country	Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia, Colombia