

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_100498
Title of the Manuscript:	Biology of measles virus: Epidemiology and clinical manifestations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. No</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The information given into the manuscript is good and informative scientifically. However there are some suggestions to do in order to make the manuscript more attractive and informative.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Title: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ You wrote a review article, you should indicate it in the title. <input type="checkbox"/> Abstract: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ You should add the the context and intention of the review (Objective), and also the methodological approach and keywords at the end of the abstract. The keywords could be used to index your article online and on search engines such as Google Scholar. <input type="checkbox"/> Introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ I suggest you to write it with four paragraphs in maximum. <input type="checkbox"/> Body of the paper <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ I suggest you also to add the material and methods section in the body of the paper. It should contains for example information about: Data sources (Bibliographic databases), search terms and search strategies, selection criteria (inclusion/exclusion of studies) the number of studies screened and the number of studies included, statistical methods used. <p>You should not list ideas with dashes in the text as you did. You have to write them in paragraphs with good sentences.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ndoe Guiaro Marcellin
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Cameroon