

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_101533
Title of the Manuscript:	HERBICIDE SALES IN GROUNDNUT FARMING: AN ANALYSIS OF FARMER AND DEALER BUYING BEHAVIOR IN RAJKOT DISTRICT
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the article seems appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive. But the abstract must be in 2 paragraphs 1st being about the brief introduction of the article and second about the research methodology and conclusion.</p> <p>Structure is ok but following changes are suggested :-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Materials and Methods – Should be changed to 'Research Methodology' - Pictorial/tabular presentation of 'Socio-economic profile of the farmers' should be included. - 3.3 & 3.4 Headings Look Similar and confusing so 3.3 heading should be changed to 'Stage at which herbicides is used by the farmer' or something similar but which does away with the confusion - Heading of 3.7 & 3.8 need to be reframed - A more concrete conclusion is required. It only lays down summary of the outcome of data. There should also be some inferences and suggestions for concerned parties. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language needs to be more formal. Minor change required in language. Few comments added in the paper as well with respect to language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The work done by the researcher has potential for better analysis. The researcher should provide a better conclusion with suggestions for the concerned parties. Rest suggestions are given above.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aditi R Khandelwal
Department, University & Country	IIS Deemed to be University, India