

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_101833
Title of the Manuscript:	Balance and genetic mechanisms in the covid-19 process of mutation.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>COVID-19 has tremendously affected the lives of human beings all over the globe. It is of prime importance to get deeper details of the same.</p> <p>No, the title needs to be modified</p> <p>The lines in the abstract are unclear and need to be reconstructed</p> <p>The content in the manuscript needs to be upgraded</p> <p>More number of references need to be added in the manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, the English language in the manuscript needs to be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The abstract, full manuscript need to rewritten and re-constructed.</p> <p>2. The English language needs to be improved in the entire manuscript.</p> <p>3. The details of virus structure, the virus pathology are missing in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. There is a lot of redundancy in the manuscript which may leave the reader baffled to some extent.</p> <p>5. The structure and content in the manuscript looks superficial. In fact, the manuscript lacks the necessary substance.</p> <p>6. In the manuscript, more number of references need to be added.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Puneet Kaur Randhawa
Department, University & Country	Amritsar Group of Colleges, India