

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_99361
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Problematic Internet Use and Cognitive Overload in Cyberchondria among adult IT professionals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <b>yes</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? <b>Yes</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? <b>yes</b></p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? <b>Yes</b></p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <b>yes</b></p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>My be, Please add modern references at last 5 years</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Is the use of the Internet and cognitive overload in Cyberchondria specialized in information technology of knowledge and teaching aids.</p> <p>2- The study assumed a written study in Arabic</p> <p>3- Do you assess the prevalence of cognitive load/information separating age groups?</p> <p>4- The image appears in a test image by searching on the Internet</p> <p>5- Is the social, psychological and economic situation taken into account when taking the test?</p> <p>6- If the researcher was asked to repeat the study, what would the researcher change in order to make improvements?</p> <p>7- The study is particularly relevant to the context of adult working professionals in the information technology and media/advertising sectors. If samples were taken from internet workers, what would change in the results?</p> <p>8- Discuss the following statement "Work-related prevention strategies and programs related to overconsumption should focus on its problematic use that can reduce subjective feelings of overload and prevent problems from escalating."</p> <p>9- The adult age group of professionals working in the information technology, media and advertising sectors for at least 5 years in India. Why is this time chosen?</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>May be, rewrite introductions</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>10- Cognitive overload as a significant but moderate predictor of CYB, moderately explaining the difference. Explain that?</p> <p>11- There is a strong positive relationship between problematic Internet use (PIU) and cognitive overload (CO) among the causes?</p> <p>12- The recent study sheds light on the attitudes of Internet users who are exposed to experiencing negative symptoms of health-related anxiety. Are other negatives taken into account, including the physical, social and economic situation?</p> <p>13- After undergoing the COVID-19 lockdown in a pandemic, they are more likely to interact with information online, which has negatively affected their ability to process and use it. What is the percentage of fear and fear of viruses and physical diseases amid lockdown in studies similar to the current study?</p> <p>14- Test results are based on self-reports of respondent bias. Was this validated and how?</p> <p>15- Groups of older adults (over the age of 31) were observed to have negative health symptoms, how much percentage compared to other groups?</p>	
---	---	--

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Qusay Kh. Al-Dulamey</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Mosul University, College of Science, Iraq</b></p>