

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_100350
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PACKAGING MATERIALS ON MICROBIAL QUALITY OF LOCUST BEANS DURING STORAGE
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I hope the manuscript contributes to the scientific knowledge and provides practical problem solving research information.</p> <p>2. The title is fairly suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is sufficiently comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Appropriate manuscript structure.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>7. Even though the references are sufficient from quantity point of view, most of them are not up-to-date.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The microbial load in the samples had better presented so that the level of contamination due to the different storage materials can be known. The narrative in the 'Result' part is not sufficient. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The language need to be corrected 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Follow author guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Addisu Tegegn Tola
Department, University & Country	Oromia Agricultural Research Institute, Ethiopia