

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_102054
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of carbapenem resistant hospital acquired infections and its antimicrobial susceptibility pattern in a tertiary care hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The study focus on carbapenemase, a major mechanism underlying carbapenem resistance thus emerging as a serious health concern across the globe. Though the data is limited only to the prevalence of carbapenem resistance gram negative bacteria from a clinical specimen, it is still more important for scientific community against developing strategies to combat antibacterial resistance.</p> <p>Yes, Suitable</p> <p>Yes, comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, Appropriate</p> <p>Yes, Scientifically validated as per the data presented by the researchers</p> <p>References are sufficient, but should follow Journal style and be properly presented without any spelling errors and or omissions. (eg. Ref. 3, spelling error " frm")</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Need to improve the language	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chethan Kumar Mukunda
Department, University & Country	University of Mysore, India