

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_100570
Title of the Manuscript:	A case study of an electrical efficiency audit in an industrial site
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript explores the energy consumption efficiency of the motor system by measuring and monitoring it in the industrial scene. At the same time, the further application of variable speed motor to study energy saving cost is of great significance for energy saving and environmental quality improvement.</p> <p>2. Yes, the manuscript is mainly about an experimental application of motor in industrial scene.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract includes the research background, purpose, process and conclusion of the article.</p> <p>4. The structure of the article is reasonable.</p> <p>5. The conclusion of this study shows that the measurement and monitoring of energy can save a lot of environmental and financial expenses, and it also has reference significance for other systems.</p> <p>6. Authoritative references in recent years can be added to the article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. There are grammatical problems in some languages in the article, improper use of conjunctions and incorrect use of some tenses.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Liao Zhigao</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Guangxi University of Science and Technology, China</b>