

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_102166
Title of the Manuscript:	Migraine: prevalence, predisposing factors, and impact on quality of life among Saudi board family medicine residents in Riyadh, KSA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Dear all</p> <p>Honestly, I have reviewed the manuscript and I have noticed some important notes should be managed.</p> <p>1- Yes (good subject)</p> <p>2- Yes, but too long</p> <p>3- yes</p> <p>4- Yes, but there are some important notes I mention with comments in the manuscript.</p> <p>5- Yes.</p> <p>6- Yes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Firstly, there are some sentences repeated (not needed), especially in the introduction and some of the paragraphs need reference.</li> <li>- The name and number of figures written below the figures and outside them also, the title of the table outside it and should adjust of them in all figures and tables.</li> <li>- Table 2: Symptoms reported by the participants related to headache and its relation to migraine, there is a mistake in the number of the table in the title and its mention in the results, and the correct one is table 4</li> <li>- Table 3: Symptoms reported by the participants related to headache and its relation to migraine, there is a mistake in the number of the table in the title and its mention in the results, and the correct one is table 5</li> <li>- lastly, the aim of the study is not apart from discussion</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, but there are some need adjustment	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The discussion best to be without numbers of results and have too much references.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mahmood J. Jawad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al Zahrawi University College, Iraq</b>