

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101234
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of phosphorus and sulphur on growth and yield of sunflower (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes Yes Yes Follow journal pattern Yes Add few suggested references Thakur et al., 2021; Pathariya et al., 2022; Sarvade et al., 2014 and Singh et al., 2015. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Follow the journal pattern for all the sections and sub-sections of the manuscript • Add few more keywords • Discussion part need to strengthen • Use same unit for respective variables in whole manuscript • Follow journal pattern while giving references in running text and in bibliography 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is scope to improve language in discussion part	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Sarvade
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, India