

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_101060 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A Comprehensive Review on Nanomaterials and Diverse Agricultural Applications |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes. This study focuses on nanotechnology and agriculture. Nanotechnology has many uses in all stages of the production, processing, storing, packaging, and transport of agricultural products. So the manuscript is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. No, the objective of the study is not clearly stated. Why is climate change written at the beginning of the abstract? Climate change is not focused on the body parts of the manuscript.</p> <p>4. Yes, it needs the same modifications and improvements.</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. No, the references are not sufficient. Most of the references are not recent (more than 15 years past).</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | No, because there are problems with spelling, punctuation, spacing, alignment of text, flow of sentences, grammar, etc. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | This manuscript is reviewed only 26 literatures, so it need review more than 40 recent literature. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name: | Tesemash Abebe Mekuria |
| Department, University & Country | Ethiopia |