

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_100977
Title of the Manuscript:	On Direct Fuzzy Stability of General Quartic Functional Equation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I think that every manuscript intended for scientific dissemination should have its publication encouraged. I consider the topic interesting for publication and discussions.</p> <p>2. Yes. It is suitable.</p> <p>3. The Abstract is not adequate. The abstract must contain a summary of the work, presenting its objectives, methodology and results achieved, among other information. The Abstract presented contains only the objective of the paper. I strongly recommend that it be rewritten adding the details that make it an important summary of the paper to be published.</p> <p>4. The division is harmonious and the paper as a whole is very well structured. Even so, a concluding chapter is missing, indicating the importance of the results and presenting future perspectives.</p> <p>5. Naturally, it is not possible to verify all the mathematical developments in a short period of time, so I express my opinion more about the structure of the text. The general structure suggests correct work.</p> <p>6. Commenting references is sometimes a little difficult, as you don't always have access to all of them. I consider 18 references to be quite a large number for such a small article. At the same time, authors are the best individuals to report how many and which references were important in their research. As for the dates, this fact is not important in a work of pure mathematics.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English is not my native language, so I have some difficulties with deeper issues associated with your grammar. But I believe that the text is on the same level of understanding as other academic works with which I am usually in contact.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. I strongly recommend that it be rewritten adding the details that make it an important summary of the paper to be published.</p> <p>2. I recommend the inclusion of a concluding chapter, with comments on the results and presentation of future perspectives.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leonardo Simal Moreira
Department, University & Country	UniFOA, Centro Universitário de Volta Redonda, Brazil