

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_100169
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaccine coverage: Resurgence of Measles Outbreak in Ghana
Type of the Article	Letter to the editor

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Additional references required</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language revision required for entire article text.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some important points are missing here in the article</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Article is based on suspected measles cases; however, laboratory confirmation is most important to declare any outbreak. As there are multiple pathogens responsible for rash and fever like infection and laboratory confirmation is recommended here for suspected measles cases. 2- Authors are unable to report the actual coverage of Measles immunization in their country such as 40% or 50%. Just low immunization coverage does not explain the actual picture of coverage in the study country. 3- Authors must provide the year-wise Measles vaccination coverage before and after the COVID-19 Pandemic for clarity. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Suleman Rana
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Health, Pakistan