

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_101846
Title of the Manuscript:	MEASUREMENT OF OUTDOOR AND INDOOR BACKGROUND IONIZING RADIATION OF O.B. LULU BRIGGS HEALTH CENTER, UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT, CHOBA, RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, but serious improvement is required. For example, BIR should be written in full at first use. Please others. Yes. But is it O.B. or OB? Is it centre of center? Please address this. Yes, but needs improvement Yes Yes, but needs English Lang. improvement OK	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs improvement	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript needs improvement. I recommend MAPLE soft to be used for the plots.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Etido P. Inyang
Department, University & Country	National Open University of Nigeria, Nigeria