

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_100832
Title of the Manuscript:	Back to Square One: Attempted legal amendments and abortion practices in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Policy Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This paper may interest those researching abortion and reproductive health policies in Sri Lanka. It assesses the government and society's response to global changes regarding induced abortion policies and attempts to liberalize the law.</p> <p>2. The paper's title, "Abortion Law in Sri Lanka: A Review of Laws, Policies and Practices," accurately represents the content, which covers the current abortion laws, policies, and practices in Sri Lanka, as well as attempts to liberalize them. Hence, the title is suitable for the subject matter addressed in the paper.</p> <p>3. The abstract is a brief and effective summary of the paper's main points and findings, introducing the topic of abortion law in Sri Lanka, changes in global policies, outlining objectives, methods, key findings, and providing an overview of the full document.</p> <p>4. Upon review, the paper's subsections and structure are appropriate for the topic of abortion law in Sri Lanka. It is divided into sections with clear subsections that cover specific aspects, enabling a well-organized presentation and easy comprehension of arguments and conclusions.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is correct. Measured: Illegal abortion rates, Efforts to change Sri Lanka's abortion laws, Success/failure of proposed amendments in Sri Lanka, Changes to legal exceptions in other countries, Advancements in safe medical abortion elsewhere, Restrictions added to liberal US abortion laws, Sri Lankan response to global abortion policy changes</p> <p>6. The paper references diverse sources, including recent research from peer-reviewed articles, government reports, and news articles.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The paper's language and terminology are appropriate for scholarly communication, with a clear and logical structure. However, it may require further review for potential grammatical or syntactical errors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The paper offers a comprehensive overview of Sri Lanka's abortion laws and policies with well-supported arguments and references. It is a valuable contribution to the literature on this subject.</p> <p>---No mention found of study type</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abdolghader Pakniyat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>