

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_101884
Title of the Manuscript:	LIVED EXPERIENCES IN PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENTS: FROM THE LENS OF THE STUDENTS IN TECHNICAL-VOCATIONAL LIVELIHOOD SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>NO. It could read 'EXPERIENES IN PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: TECHNICAL-VOCATIONAL LIVING OF SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN DAVAO REGION'</p> <p>NO. It should be summarized further to capture the main points.</p> <p>The subsections are appropriately captured but the information provided on each idea is to are unnecessarily lengthy.</p> <p>YES YES</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The researcher is should learn to summarize information given on each subsection as the verbous nature of the writing made it lengthy.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Victor O. Akor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Prince Abubakar Audu University, Nigeria</b>