

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_101884
Title of the Manuscript:	LIVED EXPERIENCES IN PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENTS: FROM THE LENS OF THE STUDENTS IN TECHNICAL-VOCATIONAL LIVELIHOOD SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript had a importance for scientific community and it refers to education for senior high school students.</li> <li>2. The title of the article is suitable.</li> <li>3. The abstract of the article is too long (468 words). I suggest reducing it by half (approximately 250 words).</li> <li>4. I consider subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</li> <li>5. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. I have no other negative comments to make.</li> <li>6. The references are sufficient (108 references) and recent.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The quality of English language must be improved. Since the authors do not appear to be native English speakers, I suggest a comprehensive revision of the English language and style for the entire article using Grammarly or another specialized tool.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, it is a good article, with small changes can be published in the Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Daniel Boldureanu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>"Grigore T. Popa" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania</b>