Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_100522**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Agronomic Practices Adopted by Farmers in Kharar Division SAS Nagar (Punjab)**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**1. Important if assessing agricultural practices and their effects on agricultural production and income (review research)** **2. Yes, the address is appropriate** **3. The summary of the article is not comprehensive, as it did not explain the most important findings of the researcher in terms of results and conclusions, and the research also lacks recommendations.** **4. The subsections are inappropriate and the structure of the manuscript is inappropriate ((requires defining the problem and hypothesis of the article as well as materials, methods of work, results, discussion and recommendations))** **5. Yes, scientifically correct.** **6. References are not sufficient and the research needs additional references**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	**Fix grammatical issues** **Check typos**	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Issa. S. A. Alhachami**
Department, University & Country	**Waist University, College of Agriculture, Iraq**