

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_101195
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PROTECTION OF INCAPABLE PERSONS IN THE CODE OF PERSONS AND FAMILY OF MALI.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The document presents the following elements:</p> <p>1. Avoid having so many separate points, since a paragraph must have a minimum of 3 lines and a maximum of 15.</p> <p>2. It presents coherence for the scientific community, since the title is pertinent and articulated with the summary and the conclusion.</p> <p>3. Explain the methodology used, this can go in the summary or in the introduction.</p> <p>4. It is recommended that in the references there be at least 10 authors from the last 10 years who talk about the research topic.</p> <p>5. Reduce keywords from 8 to 5</p> <p>Avoid having consecutive periods in such short sentences. It is recommended to extend the paragraph at least three lines, correcting what is in color.</p> <p>An adult can also be from a physical or legal disability. (page. 1)</p> <p>Join these two paragraphs and do not separate them by a full stop. In addition, add the methodology used and part of the conclusion or results:</p> <p>The inability to exercise of some adults and minors implies the intervention of one or more bodies to act for them or with them. This article gives the position of the Code of Persons and the Family of Mali, which was adopted in December 2011 for the protection of these disabilities, sometimes with a bit of comparative law. (pág. 1)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The document is clear and easy to understand for the scientific community</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yadira Esther García García
Department, University & Country	Free University of Colombia, Colombia