

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_99499
Title of the Manuscript:	GENETIC PROFILING OF PATHOGENS ASSOCIATED WITH PYROXIA OF UNKNOWN ORIGIN TREATED FOR PLASMODIASIS IN BAYELSA STATE.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The Original Research Article « GENETIC PROFILING OF PATHOGENS ASSOCIATED WITH PYROXIA OF UNKNOWN ORIGIN TREATED FOR PLASMODIASIS IN BAYELSA STATE » was analyzed the plazmodiases infections of Malaria and Tiphoidal antybody as one of the commonest problem during people living in Bayelsa state (Niger Delta). The author's team gave the results of genetic profiling of pathogens from stool and blood samples of 1200 participants (febrile patients). Nowadays, investigation of the multidrug resistance pattern of bacteria has been recognized as an important target for the development knowledge of drugs. The results here presented merit and good commenters. I agree with the strong claims that the author has made.</p> <p>2. In my opinion, the title of the manuscript is absolutely appropriate for the submitted text and I have no alternative</p> <p>3. The structure of the article is clear and well organized. The title, abstract, introduction, headings, subheadings, opening sentences, and conclusion of the article are well.</p> <p>4. Abstract gives an overview of the study's purpose, links with Materials and Methods. The authors identify gaps in the existing literature and tell us how the current study will fill that problem in the Introduction section of the article.</p> <p>5. As the scientific side, article is absolutely correct and understanding</p> <p>6. The references are some old (The top - 2016. The problem is not describe after 2016?)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In my opinion, the manuscript is suitable for publication. Overall, this is a good paper.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olena Grechana
Department, University & Country	Zaporizhzhya State Medical-Pharmacy University, Ukraine