

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_101251
Title of the Manuscript:	HATE SPEECH DETECTION USING DECISION TREE ALGORITHM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>No.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>No</b></p> <p>4. <b>No</b></p> <p>5. <b>No</b></p> <p>6. <b>No</b></p> <p>7. <b>Author had shared snapshot of codes without numbering them. These snapshots are not explained properly, and the codes are easily available at multiple bogs.</b></p> <p>8. <b>The conclusion is also poorly written.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>However, the author should consider following for future writings-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. "...The power of social media is such that the number of active users worldwide will reach 3.02 billion per month by 2021....." if you are giving any information or sharing data, cite the source.</li> <li>2. In research paper it is advised not to share snapshots of codes but you may share the algorithms.</li> <li>3. Figures should be labeled, numbered and explained properly.</li> <li>4. Read some good research papers and understand how they are written and improve your work accordingly.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sweta Srivastava</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Amity University, India</b>