

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_101993
Title of the Manuscript:	Baby-Led Cookies Produced from Rice, Banana and Cashewnut Flour Blends: Physicochemical and Nutritional Properties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript raises the need for a competitive alternative baby-led cookie which the Author tried providing using locally available food stuffs.</p> <p>Suggested Title: A comparison of Physicochemical and Nutritional Properties of Rice,Wheat,Banana and Cashew nut Cookie blends.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The author(s) followed the Journal's Template</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references are sufficient and relatively current</p> <p>The results and discussion were presented together which is acceptable by the Journal Format but the author(s) should do more in interpreting the results and citing relevant literature to substantiate the results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The Author(s)should pay attention to the corrections made on the manuscript and effect them.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mercy .O. Ifeanacho
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria