

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_101636
Title of the Manuscript:	Serum creatinine: Comparison between colorimetric (Jaffé) and enzymatic methods in renal transplant recipients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Important in aim, but limited in scope.</p> <p>2. It could be amended to read "Serum Creatinine Determination: Comparison Between Colorimetric (Jaffé) and Enzymatic Methods in Renal Transplant Recipients."</p> <p>3.</p> <p>4. It captures the scope and tries to work within the size limit.</p> <p>5. Introduction contains very scanty information although some may be found in discussion. Methodology is devoid of full declaration of sensitive equipment used and the exact assay procedure (with Citation) adopted. In results, table of mean values and standard error of means or deviations could have been provided. In discussion, export of some information to introduction and more comparison of results to previous works may be necessary.</p> <p>6. To the extent of the scope, yes; an could be useful for laboratory quality assurance an quality control.</p> <p>7. References could be sufficient if they are recent, but they are not recent.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> scientific presentation of information is required. Attention to grammer and punctuations is advised. Adequate introduction of the research is necessary. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs improvement	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In the Manuscript; Attention should be paid to the highlighted words/sentences. Comments, suggestions and questions are in blue parenthesis .	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enyinnaya Anthony Ogbonnaya
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria