

Original Research Article

Serum creatinine: Comparison between colorimetric (Jaffé) and enzymatic methods in renal transplant recipients

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Serum creatinine is the most requested parameter in current practice in the context of functional exploration of the kidney. Its contribution to medical care is particularly decisive for kidney transplant patients. The objective of the study is to compare the colorimetric and enzymatic techniques available in our laboratory for the determination of creatinine on samples from kidney transplant patients.

METHODS: Prospective study carried out at the Biochemistry laboratory of the CHU Ibn Rochd in Casablanca, over a period of 8 months, carried out on a series of 45 samples from kidney transplant patients who simultaneously benefited from a creatinine assay by colorimetric and enzymatic technique. The samples were taken on dry tubes and analyzed on the Alinity ® Abbott analyzer. The statistical study of correlation was made by the SPSS software with calculation of the p-value and the coefficient of Pearson (r).

RESULTS: During the study period 45 patients were collected with a sex ratio of 2. 70% of the prescriptions came from the Nephrology department. The mean serum creatinine value by enzymatic technique was 39.04 ± 11.7 mg/l, and by colorimetric technique 38.24 ± 12.4 mg/l. The creatinine level by the two techniques was pathological in 69% of cases and normal in 31%. The correlation between the serum creatinine assay by enzymatic VERSUS colorimetric technique is positive with $r=0.994$ and $P\text{-value}<0.001$.

CONCLUSION: According to the literature, colorimetric techniques are sensitive to interference with pseudochromogenic substances, while enzymatic techniques are standardized due to their specificity and performance but remain more expensive. In our study the correlation between the two techniques was positive with a correlation equation: $(y = 0.82 + 1*x)$. It is very clear from these results that no significant differences between the two techniques were observed in our laboratory.

KEYWORDS: *Enzymatic creatinine, colorimetric creatinine, renal transplant recipient*

INTRODUCTION:

Serum creatinine is the most requested parameter in current practice in the context of the exploration of renal function. Its contribution to medical care and clinical follow-up is decisive, particularly for kidney transplant patients.

The techniques for assaying this parameter are heterogeneous. Two major types of techniques widely described in the literature are the colorimetric method and the enzymatic method.

In this context, we aimed to compare the two techniques available in our laboratory on samples from known kidney transplant patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS :

This is a prospective study carried out in the Biochemistry laboratory of the CHU Ibn Rochd in Casablanca spread over a period of 8 months from May 2022 to January 2023, on a series of 45 samples from kidney transplant patients who simultaneously benefited from serum creatinine assay by colorimetric (Jaffé) and enzymatic technique. The samples were taken on dry tubes and analyzed on the Alinity ®Abbott analyzer.

The exploitation of the data was carried out by the Excel software version 2016 and the statistical study of correlation by the SPSS software with calculation of the p-value and the Pearson correlation coefficient (r).

RESULTS :

During the study period, 45 patients were collected, 33% were women and 67% men with a sex ratio of 2 (**Figure 1**).




Fig. 1. Breakdown of requests by gender

The prescriptions came from the Nephrology department in 70% of cases and from the various medical departments in 30% (**Figure 2**).




Fig. 2. Breakdown of requests by department

The mean serum creatinine value by enzymatic technique was 39.04 ± 11.7 mg/l, and by colorimetric technique (Jaffé) 38.24 ± 12.4 mg/l. The creatinine level by the two techniques was pathological in 69% of cases and normal in 31% of cases.

The correlation between serum creatinine assay by colorimetric technique (Jaffé) VERSUS enzymatic is positive with a Pearson correlation coefficient at $r = 0.994$ and a P-value < 0.001 with the correlation equation: $(y = 0.82 + 1 \cdot x)$. (**Figure 3**).




Fig. 3. Linear regression curve = Correlation between serum creatinine assay by colorimetric (Jaffé) and enzymatic technique

DISCUSSION:

Serum creatinine is one of the most prescribed laboratory tests in clinical practice. It is the main parameter used for the functional evaluation of the kidney to estimate the glomerular filtration rate. (1,2).

Its contribution is decisive in the medical management allowing to ensure the follow-up and to adapt the treatment, particularly for the kidney transplant patients, requiring a reliable dosage.

There are different techniques for measuring creatinine (3–5). Chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry with isotopic dilution (SM-DI) is the reference method, it is a cumbersome technique and not used routinely (6–8).

The two techniques routinely used in medical biology laboratories are: colorimetric and enzymatic.

Colorimetric methods based on the Jaffe reaction → Described by Jaffé since 1886, its principle is based on the interaction between picrate and creatinine in an alkaline medium, which gives the red-orange JANOVSKY complex whose optical density measurement at 505 nm is proportional to the creatinine concentration (3,9).

This technique is the most used in current practice, characterized by its low cost and ease of use (3,5). However, its main drawback is its lack of specificity, well-illustrated in the literature, linked to interference with so-called "pseudochromogenic" substances, in particular bilirubin, proteins and acetoacetate. (10,11) .

Enzymatic methods → L their general principle includes a succession of enzymatic reactions which give a colored final product and whose intensity of coloring is directly proportional to the concentration of creatinine. The most widespread enzymatic method uses the enzyme "creatininase" which degrades creatinine into creatine which is then converted into sarcosine by creatinase (12) . Then comes the enzyme sarcosine peroxidase which transforms sarcosine into formaldehyde, glycine and hydrogen peroxide which will be quantified by a final enzymatic reaction which varies according to the suppliers. (13).

Several studies have shown that enzymatic methods have less interference and excellent analytical performance, and are therefore the most recommended. (4,10,14,15).

However, they are still little used, and are much more expensive than colorimetric methods. (16) , which has probably limited their use in analytical laboratories (17) (8).

In our study the correlation between the two techniques was positive with a correlation equation: $(y = 0.82 + 1*x)$. It is very clear from these results that no significant differences between the two techniques were observed in our laboratory.

In 2009, Cobart et al (18) clarified that according to Abbott Diagnostics, the new uncompensated Jaffe method is a modified version that exhibits negligible interference with bilirubin.

They add that hemolysis does not interfere in enzymatic methods, and only relatively slightly modifies the value of creatinine in the Jaffé method (8).

Delatour et al (16) state that according to the results of the 2009 national quality control by principle of method, the bias does not exceed 2% for routine methods (colorimetric Jaffé type and enzymatic), and that their accuracy is very satisfactory.

CONCLUSION :

Renal function is assessed by estimating the GFR obtained from the serum creatinine assay. This parameter has a valuable contribution in the diagnosis and monitoring of patients with renal insufficiency and particularly renal transplant recipients. Its accuracy depends on the analytical performance of the assay methods used.

According to the literature, colorimetric techniques are sensitive to interference with pseudochromogenic substances, while enzymatic techniques are standardized due to their specificity and performance but remain more expensive.

In our study, which concerns kidney transplant patients, no significant difference was observed. Thus, in these patients requiring close and regular long-term biological monitoring, the Jaffé colorimetric method provides an excellent cost/benefit ratio.

REFERENCES:

1. Séronie-Vivien S, Pieroni L, Galteau MM, Carlier MC, Hanser AM, Cristol JP. Consequences for clinical biochemists of the modifications of the creatinine-based evaluation of glomerular filtration rate between 2005 and 2008. *Annales de Biologie Clinique*. 1 mai 2008;66(3):263-8.
2. Miller WG. Estimating glomerular filtration rate. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*. 1 sept 2009;47(9):1017-9.
3. Mohabbati-Kalejahi E, Azimirad V, Bahrami M, Ganbari A. A review on creatinine measurement techniques. *Talanta*. 15 août 2012;97:1-8.
4. Miller WG, Myers GL, Ashwood ER, Killeen AA, Wang E, Thienpont LM, et al. Creatinine measurement: state of the art in accuracy and interlaboratory harmonization. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. mars 2005;129(3):297-304.

5. Drion I, Cobbaert C, Groenier KH, Weykamp C, Bilo HJ, Wetzels JF, et al. Clinical evaluation of analytical variations in serum creatinine measurements: why laboratories should abandon Jaffe techniques. *BMC Nephrology*. 8 oct 2012;13(1):133.
6. Thienpont LM, Van Landuyt KG, Stöckl D, De Leenheer AP. Candidate reference method for determining serum creatinine by isocratic HPLC: validation with isotope dilution gas chromatography-mass spectrometry and application for accuracy assessment of routine test kits. *Clin Chem*. juill 1995;41(7):995-1003.
7. Björkhem I, Blomstrand R, Ohman G. Mass fragmentography of creatinine proposed as a reference method. *Clin Chem*. nov 1977;23(11):2114-21.
8. Delanaye P, Cavalier E, Maillard N, Krzesinski JM, Mariat C, Cristol JP, et al. La créatinine : d'hier à aujourd'hui. *Creatinine: past and present* [Internet]. 2010 [cité 18 janv 2023];68(5). Disponible sur: <https://orbi.uliege.be/handle/2268/73824>
9. Delanghe JR, Speeckaert MM. Creatinine determination according to Jaffe—what does it stand for? *NDT Plus*. avr 2011;4(2):83-6.
10. Lawson N, Lang T, Broughton A, Prinsloo P, Turner C, Marenah C. Creatinine assays: time for action? *Ann Clin Biochem*. nov 2002;39(Pt 6):599-602.
11. Myers GL, Miller WG, Coresh J, Fleming J, Greenberg N, Greene T, et al. Recommendations for improving serum creatinine measurement: a report from the Laboratory Working Group of the National Kidney Disease Education Program. *Clin Chem*. janv 2006;52(1):5-18.
12. Cook JG, Association of clinical Biochemists' Scientific and Technica Committee. Factors influencing the assay of creatinine. *Ann Clin Biochem*. nov 1975;12(6):219-32.
13. Suzuki M. Purification and some properties of sarcosine oxidase from *Corynebacterium* sp. U-96. *J Biochem*. févr 1981;89(2):599-607.
14. Panteghini M. Enzymatic assays for creatinine: time for action. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest Suppl*. 2008;241:84-8.

15. Hanser AM, Hym B, Michotey O, Gascht D, Marchal A, Minery M, et al. Comparaison des méthodes de dosage de la créatinine sérique. *Annales de Biologie Clinique*. 16 nov 2001;59(6):737-42.
16. Delatour V, Lalere B, Dumont G, Hattchouel JM, Froissart M, De Graeve J, et al. Développement d'une méthode de référence pour le dosage de la créatinine pour améliorer le diagnostic et le suivi de l'insuffisance rénale. *R franç métrol [Internet]*. 7 oct 2011 [cité 14 févr 2023];(26). Disponible sur: <http://www.metrologie-francaise.fr/fr/publications/RFM/2011/rfm1108.asp>
17. Spencer K. Analytical reviews in clinical biochemistry: the estimation of creatinine. *Ann Clin Biochem*. janv 1986;23 (Pt 1):1-25.
18. Cobbaert CM, Baadenhuijsen H, Weykamp CW. Prime time for enzymatic creatinine methods in pediatrics. *Clin Chem*. mars 2009;55(3):549-58.