

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_100388
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementation Strategy of Port Clearance at UNTIA Fishing Port Makassar City, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajssse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Through the use of licencing mechanisms provided by working agencies, this paper makes a contribution to the management of fisheries. Therefore, this management mechanism based on scientific calculation contributes to the community and society.</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive and good enough</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript, including its subsections, is appropriate</p> <p>Because they made use of quantitative methods and a data survey, the manuscript is sound from a scientific perspective.</p> <p>References are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Removed the Malay words only from the Table 5. SWOT Matrix for Improving PC Issuance Services at Untia Fishing Port Makassar City</p> <p>Also from some aother part in the paper.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Good information's</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramesh Kumar Moona Haji Mohamed
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia