

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_100386
Title of the Manuscript:	Involvement of ornithine decarboxylase and HSP-90 gene in the anti-trypanosomal activities of <i>Annona muricata</i> Annonaceae: in silico study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) The manuscripts is suitable for publication after minor revision</p> <p>2) Change the title of this manuscripts to "in silico study of the ornithine decarboxylase and HSP-90 gene in the anti-trypanosomal activities of <i>Annona muricata</i> Annonaceae"</p> <p>3) Abstract is accurate</p> <p>4) Yes</p> <p>5) Yes</p> <p>6) Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Check the grammatical mistake in the whole manuscripts	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) If possible please provide the scheme of the ornithine decarboxylase in order to improve the excellent work in the scientific community.</p> <p>2) Please provide docking procedure that how you get the results of docking by which software and Whatever the process.</p> <p>3) Mention out 3D structures of the subjected compounds</p> <p>4) Add some references to the docking section</p> <p>5) In ADME if possible, provide the graph of the properties using M.S excel</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shoaib Khan
Department, University & Country	Abbottabad University of Science and Technology, Pakistan