

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98122
Title of the Manuscript:	Eddy Covariance Measurements of Turbulent Heat Fluxes over a Grass-Covered Surface in a Tropical Location
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for scientific community because it has shown that for a tropical farmland located at Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria, 91% of the energy available at the surface during the period of study of 3 years, was used for evapotranspiration while only 9% was used for sensible heating. Furthermore, the conclusions of this manuscript allow us to understand better the evolution of weather phenomena and weather statistics. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient and correct. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language/English quality of the article is quite suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript is very good and well documented, the conclusions are relevant and the period of experimental study is of 3 years.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niculescu Mihai Leonida
Department, University & Country	INCAS - National Institute for Aerospace Research "Elie Carafoli", Romania