

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_100690
Title of the Manuscript:	Game Data Analysis And Prediction Based On Statistical Methods
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes this work is important for scientific community.</b></p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes appropriate</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Let's talk about the writing. Authors should be careful to check that some expressions are correct before submitting the manuscript so that the goals and results of the study are clear to the reader. Improve your writing skill also.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>1. Let's talk about the writing. Authors should be careful to check that some expressions are correct before submitting the manuscript so that the goals and results of the study are clear to the reader.</p> <p>2. The authors should add more details about their final results in the abstract.</p> <p>3. Introduction needs to explain the main contributions of the work more clearly.</p> <p>4. Review also misses the analysis of the limitations of current methods.</p> <p>5. Improve the quality of figures.</p> <p>Conclusion should be rewritten as it is quite confusing.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>A. Pramila</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alagapa University, India</b>