

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_99683
Title of the Manuscript:	Analgesic and Anti-inflammatory Potentials of Anthocleista grandiflora wood Bark in Albino Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, this is because it will lead to discovery of new drugs that are likely to overcome the side effects of the current drugs in use.</p> <p>2. Assessing the Analgesic and Anti-inflammatory effects of <i>Anthocleista grandiflora</i> wood Bark crude extracts in Albino Rats</p> <p>3. No; study site, study design and laboratory bioassays are missing</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. After addressing the issues raised</p> <p>6. There are strong statements in the introduction section that need citations, see text</p> <p>7. The author to clarify on the solvents that were used. Under extraction, he mentions Methanol but on treatment, he talks of ethanol extracts. Also there is need to justify the use of ONLY methanol as the solvent remembering that there are polar and non-polar compounds in plants</p> <p>8. Specify the volume/amount of extract/drug that was administered</p> <p>9. Specify the route of drug/extract administration that was used</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript lacks: study site, study design and ethical considerations	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samuel Mong'are
Department, University & Country	Kisii University, Kenya