

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_99683
Title of the Manuscript:	Analgesic and Anti-inflammatory Potentials of Anthocleista grandiflora wood Bark in Albino Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes, this manuscript is scientifically significant to the community. - Yes, the title of the article is very correct. - Yes, the abstract is comprehensive; please author(s) rephrase the abstract by including the conclusions. - Yes, subsections are well structured. - The manuscript is scientifically correct. - Yes, except some few references are too old like 1955. - Please author(s) add more citations in all subsections. - Please author(s) be clear on the solvent used for extraction, because it is contradicting as both Ethanol and Methanol has been used. Therefore, specify the exact solvent. - Please for more comments check the manuscript file. - Please author(s) it would be good to provide the Ethical approval for the animal used in the experiment. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please correct some few grammatical errors as indicated in the manuscript file. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The work is publishable in this journal upon making the minor corrections 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patrick Onen
Department, University & Country	University of Kerala, India