

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_100670
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT AND ORGANOLEPTIC EVALUATION OF EDIBLE BASKET USING MULTI GRAINS & NORI SHEET
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes-very important innovation and acceptable but also solving environmental problems of today.</p> <p>Title is Suitable and catchy Abstract not clear as highlighted in the manuscript. Need to recast it.</p> <p>Yes, but there is need to reconstruct some sections to make the manuscript flow logically. Tables should be reconstructed eg as done in Table 1...check. Scientifically the manuscript is good and very important Need to look for latest references on food products formulation and environmental issues concerned with food packaging. Some references appear in the reference section but not in the write up.</p> <p>There is no discussion of results. Author should consider checking in the literature and logically argue based on the findings of this study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Not satisfactory-some corrections have been done in the manuscript, and there is need to improve English and the logical flow of facts</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There is a mix between methodology and results and discussions. May the author distinguish these. The author should take time and go through the whole write up to improve the areas that have been commented on.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Majamanda
Department, University & Country	Domasi College of Education, Malawi