

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100245
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Gothan and Godhan Nyay Scheme on the Income of SHGs and Livestock rearers of Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript has practical significance for scientific community. The author/authors need to add research objects (Why do you study "Impact of Gothan and Godhan Nyay Scheme on the Income of SHGs and Livestock rearers of Chhattisgarh").</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article need to add research objects</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. In conclusion, the author/authors should not leave entries. The content of the conclusion should be written according to the research objectives and results.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Suggestion and Policy implication should be added</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	This paper is required compulsory revision comments.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nguyen Thi Dung</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bac Giang Agriculture and Forestry University, Vietnam</b>