

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_94129
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultivation and Marketing of Important Flower Crops in Dindigul District of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Even if the target city Dindigul is important for flower cultivation, the method of evaluation was not declared significantly.</p> <p>The farmers (30) were surveyed in the flower market. Demographic information on the farmer and farmer family was provided. But why? Is there a relationship between the assessment of the market and family size or education level of the farmer. A survey was conducted with farmers, but what the findings do not infer specific constraints as indicated in the introduction section.</p> <p>Information on Jasmine regarding number of producers-sellers was provided in page 6. But Why jasmine is considered? Its GI is specific. But the data indicated should be related to the whole market. And inferences for flower marketing are required.</p> <p>In the summary and conclusion section, reader faces with some information that was not indicated or explained within the manuscript earlier. Like the positive impact of price or need for infrastructure investment. What is the source of these findings?</p> <p>As a conclusion, the paper needs through revision. It can be reconsidered after resubmission following a proper revision.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahmiye Figen Ceylan
Department, University & Country	Akdeniz University, Turkey