

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_99007
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity on ϕfin (X)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper is ended with numerical simulations that corroborate the theoretical results.</p> <p>Therefore, I recommend publishing this work after taking these points into account.</p> <p>1-The English writing of the paper is required to be improved. Please check the manuscript carefully for typos and grammatical errors. I found some typos and grammatical errors within this manuscript, which have been excluded from my review. In addition, the English structure of the article, including punctuation, semicolon, and other structures, must be carefully reviewed.</p> <p>2-In the introduction, the authors did not provide a strong motivation for the paper and the obtained results. In addition, they should discuss the main contributions of their work in detail after the motivation part. Then they should summarize the main structure of their paper in brief at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>3-The literature review about the problem under study is not adequate. I suggest the authors keep up-to-date the introductory part by the recent relevant developments and publications.</p> <p>4-For this purpose, the authors can add the following references to enrich the introductory section:</p> <p>*A new solution of SIR model by using the differential fractional transformation method, International Journal of Engineering, 4 (11), 8269, 2014.</p> <p>*Stability, existence, and uniqueness for solving fractional glioblastoma multiforme using a Caputo–Fabrizio derivative, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2023.</p> <p>*Use of optimal control in studying the dynamical behaviors of fractional financial awareness models, Soft Computing, vol. 26, pp. 3401–3409, 2022.</p> <p>4-Future recommendations should be added to assist other researchers to extend the presented research analysis.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amr Mahdy
Department, University & Country	Zagazig University, Egypt