

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_100596
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of WOA-based LSSVM model for wind speed prediction in Mianyang
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript is moderate designed; however, the results are interesting which addresses the wind speed forecasting.</p> <p>No. Please provide the country.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No. Need more references in the discussion part.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction 1.2 Related work - "...Differential Autoregressive Sliding Average (ARIMA) model...", please check the correctness.</p> <p>Methodology - Figure 1, please mention in the text.</p> <p>Results and Discussion - "...from the website of Xiaomaiya¹, and the monthly average wind speed...", please check how to use footnote in the manuscript for the journal. - The manuscript need discussion the interpret and describe the significance of findings considering what was already known about the wind speed forecasting. - Please provide the limitations of the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

¹ <http://www.wheata.cn/>

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uma Langkulsen
Department, University & Country	Thammasat University, Thailand