

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101752
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on effect of different levels of yeast and sugar on quality cider production from pomegranate (<i>Punica granatum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Studies on effect of different levels of yeast and sugar on quality cider production from pomegranate (<i>Punica granatum</i> L.) is important to scientific community because it gives information relevant to cider production Article title is suitable. Need to optimize the abstract. Abstract should be precise Additional technical content necessary Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct Recent reference necessary	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language should be improved	
Optional/General comments	Please check the spelling mistake throughout the manuscript Alignment should be check the whole manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Priyadhrsini
Department, University & Country	Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, India