

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_101644
Title of the Manuscript:	A Rare case of Phytobezoar Impaction in a Giant Meckel's Diverticulum Causing Small bowel obstruction
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comment)</p>	<p>Phytobezoars in Meckal's diverticulum causing small bowel obstruction are rare Thus those cases are worthy to be presented. The title is appropriate. The abstract should mainly present in brief the case report and provide a brief introduction and a brief conclusion. In the document presented by the authors the case is represented by a single phrase. Thus they should try to reduce introduction and extend their case description The case report should provide more details about any pathological blood results, explain why CT was not performed, provide more details about the x-ray and the ultrasound findings Discussion should provide some clues about bezoar pathogenesis and connect them to the case. The authors should explain why they rash to an operation without further define the cause of small bowel obstruction and why they have not tried a conservative approach even for short term Yakan S, et al. A rare cause of acute abdomen: small bowel obstruction due to phytobezoar. Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg. 2010; 16: 459-463. Bingham JR, Causey MW, Haque MI. Phytobezoar within Meckel's diverticulum: an unusual cause of intestinal obstruction. Am Surg. 2014; 80: E94-96. Oh SH, et al. Bezoar-induced Small Bowel Obstruction. J Korean Soc Coloproctol. 2012; 28: 89-93.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language needs revision For instance the phrase minimal free in the abdomen is incoherent</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiotis Tsibouris
Department, University & Country	NIMTS General Hospital, Greece