

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_101102
Title of the Manuscript:	ORGANOLEPTIC SATISFACTION OF BISCUIT PRODUCTS AT VARIOUS LEVELS OF ADDITION OF BREEM FISH (Nemipterus japonicus) MEAT FEDERALTY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The research paper presents the findings based on usage of average statistical tool, which is not sufficient for justifying the claim of the researcher. Therefore, a statistical tool like ANOVA, Regression etc may be used as per the requirements of the research work in order to justify the findings of the research work.</p> <p>The research paper must be checked using any similarity index checking software prior to publication. The references need to be validated. Recent references need to be added The paper must be checked for spelling and grammatical errors. Conclusion part should include Futuristic scope as well</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, however, the same needs to be checked for spelling and grammatical errors	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mudasir M. Kirmani
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Kashmir University of agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir, India