

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_101102
Title of the Manuscript:	ORGANOLEPTIC SATISFACTION OF BISCUIT PRODUCTS AT VARIOUS LEVELS OF ADDITION OF BREEM FISH (<i>Nemipterus japonicus</i>) MEAT FEDERALTY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No. Suggested title: "ASSESSING THE ORGANOLEPTIC PROPERTIES OF BISCUIT PRODUCTS AT VARIOUS LEVELS OF ADDITION OF BREEM FISH (<i>NEMIPTEBUS JAPONICUS</i>) MEAT"</p> <p>Yes, but I made changes to that.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, references are recent but NOT sufficient. I suggest the references should be up to 25 to 30.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, though the article has some grammatical errors here and there, however, I pointed those out and gave the necessary corrections.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ebele .C. Okoye
Department, University & Country	Jackson State University, United States of America