

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAEES_100989</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Farmer's Perception and Willingness to Pay for Mitigating Man-Animal Conflicts in Coimbatore Forest circle</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>NO ( see review comments at the right)</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>NO ( see review comments at the right)</b></p> <p>1- the abstract is little bit lengthy , it can be shorted by few sentences.</p> <p>2- my comments are given in <b>Underlined Bold Red Colours ( As Seen On The Attached Original Corrected Manuscript ) :</b></p> <p>2-1 - chart 1 , in page no 3 , can be given as : table no. 1</p> <p>2-2 equations in page no. 4 , should be written in individual lines , and numbers should be given to them .</p> <p>2-3 all abbreviations appears in the text seen in <b>Underlined Bold Red Colours ( As Seen On The Attached Original Corrected Manuscript )</b> , should be explained explicitly</p> <p>2-4 references should be given either in square brackets , or as names , but not both of them !!</p> <p>2-5 ref. no. 5and 6 , have not been appeared in the text !!</p> <p>2-6 in page no. 5 , the sentence : <b>table given by Garret. , authors should give this table and show this Garret as a reference ??</b></p> <p>2-7 in page no 14 , the sentence (<b>*** Indicates significance at one per cent level;</b>) the 3 stars did not appear on the previous table.</p> <p>2-8 sentences shown in <b>Underlined Bold Red Colours ( As Seen On The Attached Original Corrected Manuscript )</b> , have bad English languages and should be corrected</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><b>NO ( see review comments at the right)</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>All the given review comments should be fulfilled before acceptance for publication.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammed Eldaghmah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Criminal Justice, Naif Arab University for Security Sciences, Saudi Arabia</b>