

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_100701
Title of the Manuscript:	Predictors of Outcome in Pediatric Immune Thrombocytopenia: Relation to Thrombopoietin Levels
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community, this prospective study investigating the possible predictors of immune thrombocytopenia outcome in children and adolescents including the diagnostic potential of thrombopoietin. Childhood immune thrombocytopenia is an acquired autoimmune disorder characterized by isolated thrombocytopenia, prior studies in animal models and in humans demonstrating that potential of thrombopoietin levels vary inversely with circulating platelet mass.</li> <li>2. Yes, the title of the abstract is suitable.</li> <li>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</li> <li>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The text is clear and easy to read.</li> <li>6. The list of references is relatively recently.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dumitru Gabriela</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania</b>