

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_101507
Title of the Manuscript:	The Digitalization of India's Healthcare System: A Paradigm Shift Amidst the Covid-19 Pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>Title is suitable for a position paper</p> <p>The abstract need a few modifications as advised on the manuscript</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but does not need numbering, highlights of subsections will be adequate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct, some of the in-text citations need modifications, sources of information should be quoted correctly. Most of the information runs through like literature, sources should be acknowledged. It's a major area of note.</p> <p>I don't know the referencing style adopted by the journal, if it is APA it is far from it. I suggest the style in use by the journal should be adopted</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language used is suitable for scholarly communications, minor spelling errors and typographical errors should be attended to as observed on the manuscript</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article can be published if the comments made on the manuscript are well attended to.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Margaret George Kennedy
Department, University & Country	Rivers State University, Nigeria