

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_101344
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of an antigen rapid test compared to RT-PCR for the detection of SARS-CoV-2
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes this article will add some knowledge to the scientific literature; though it is already known</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>TO SOME EXTENT</p> <p>YES, NEED FORMATTING AND CITE NEW REFERENCES. CITE THIS REFERENCE IN THE INTRODUCTION SECTION Hussain, N., Mumtaz, M. Adil, M., Waseem, M. ACE I/D polymorphism and <i>In-Silico</i> screening of potential bioactive phytochemicals against COVID-19. Bioinformatics and Biology Insights. 2022. 16:1-13.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	CORRECT THE GRAMMATICAL ERRORS	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This write-up should be considered as a short communication in the journal. In the result section specificity and sensitivity values at 95%CI is missing though PPV and NPV are mentioned. Conclusion is absent after discussion section.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nageen Hussain
Department, University & Country	Institute of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of the Punjab, Pakistan