

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_100367
Title of the Manuscript:	Sociological Determinants and Consequences of Irregular Migration, Human Trafficking and Modern Slavery in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The theme of work is very important in today's society.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract should be rewritten in order to highlight the objective of the work. "The purpose of this study is to investigate..." The research methods used should also be clarified. Qualitative and bibliographic research.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Acronyms must be explained in the first citation (UN). The text must be written impersonally (including ours).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The text needs extensive revision of grammar, punctuation and form .</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The investigated topic is current, suitable for publishing. Since we are not native speakers of the English language, I would suggest that in order to improve the quality of the paper it is send for proofreading to a professional</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Irene Da Fonseca E Sá
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil