

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_99674
Title of the Manuscript:	TRENDS OF IN-PATIENT MORTALITY BETWEEN 2018 AND 2019 AT KISUMU COUNTY LEVEL FOUR HOSPITAL, KENYA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is better to provide subsections in abstract.</p> <p>Please provide keywords. Please use citation style as per the journal guideline. Proper arrangement of sections specially in methodology. Please mention how sample size was determined. Please do not contrast your finding with others in result section, do this in discussions. At the end of sociodemographic analysis, you have concluded that "men put off getting medical attention..." Please provide supporting data for this information. In table 1 and 2, number of subjects is more than sample size, please do corrections. In many places author had assumed the causes without support data which is discouraged in scientific writings.</p> <p>Analysis should be based on sample size but it does not look so.</p> <p>Discussion section is missing</p> <p>Minor language correction is needed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor language correction is needed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajan Ghimire
Department, University & Country	Nepal