

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_101078
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of VARVIMAX™ as an Effective Treatment of Chronic Inflammation and Pain in Arthritis and Fibromyalgia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The sentences must be re-written / quality must be improved by using scientific words than common language</p> <p>Yes, sufficient</p> <p>Grammar mistakes all over the article needs to be corrected.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Few long sentences must be re-written / English quality must be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Grammar mistakes all over the article needs to be corrected. Use of appropriate space while quoting references. Example- Complete Freund's adjuvant induction(28). Give space between induction and reference Wherever needed unimportant sentence can be removed. Long sentences can be replaced with two short sentences for the ease of understanding.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamalesh Kumar K. S.
Department, University & Country	Indian Veterinary Research Institute, India